

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,430	08/18/2005	Olaf Pichler	167/04/Heuer	3270	
156 KIRSCHSTEI	7590 09/26/2004 N. OTTINGER, ISRAE	EXAMINER			
& SCHIFFMILLER, P.C.			BELLO, AGUSTIN		
425 FIFTH AT	VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10016-2223		2613		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			00/26/2000	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/509,430		PICHLER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Agustin Bello	2613		

	/ igastiii Bello	2010	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance EFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection.		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i	n).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of otermining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> </ol>			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	acted alaims	
	corresponding number or finally reje	ected ciairris.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e.	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>12 and 15-21</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration.	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for a	allowance
because: the examiner maintains that Graves meets the limitations	s of the claimed invention. As to a	onlicant's guartien rea	arding the
location of the switching elements that act as connecting			
within each of the optical switching matrices and clearly			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. Other:	,		

/Agustin Bello/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2613